

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bos. (140)
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bos. (140)
Address Commission (140)
Www.uspro.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/073,550 | 02/11/2002 | Gabriela Brasc | Z&P-INFN10176 | 5088 | |
| 5 | 7590 01/07/2004 | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| LERNER AN | ID GREENBERG, P.A. | DEO, DUY V | DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN | | |
| PATENT ATTORNEYS AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW | | | | | |
| Post Office Box 2480 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Hollywood, F. | L 33022-2480 | 1765 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| e. e . | Applicat | ion No. | Applicant(s) | 1 - | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | | 550 | BRASE ET AL. | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examine | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| The BAAH INC DATE of this committee of the | DuyVu n | | 1765 | J | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on th | e cover sneet with the c | orrespondence add | ıress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS form the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | N. 1.136(a). In no e eply within the sta od will apply and v ute, cause the ap | vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE! | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | : mmunication. | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 | October 200 | <u>03</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th | This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| | S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | ccepted or b ne drawing(s) ection is requi Examiner. N | be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj ote the attached Office | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF Action or form PT | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |) | 4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | (PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO | | | | | |
| o, i store and itautitian office | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/073,550

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Havemann et al. (US 6.358,849).

Havemann describes a dual damascene patterning process comprising: providing a semiconductor structure with functional elements formed in a substrate (figure 1g); a dielectric, 170 or 172, disposed on the substrate (col. 3, line 59-65); a photoresist etching mask above the dielectric (col. 4, line 1-3); a silicon oxynitride ARC layer 173 (claimed a polymer intermediate

Application/Control Number: 10/073,550

Art Unit: 1765

layer) between the photoresist and the dielectric layer (col. 3, line 66-67); etching the dielectric and the polymer intermediate for the dual damascene patterning using gas including CF4 (claimed CF4 ARC open process) (col. 3, line 25-27; col. 4, line 6, 7). Havemann's etching of the ARC and the dielectric would provide a high selectivity with respect to the photoresist since it uses the same gases (CHF3, CF4, O2, and Ar) as that of the claim and the photoresist is still on the substrate after the etch due to the fact that the photoresist is not removed until after the etch (col. 4, line 7).

Referring to claim 2, the dielectric is a fluorinated silicon dioxide or various silicon dioxide based dielectrics including nonfluorinated oxide (col. 3, line 63; col. 4, line 49-54). These materials would read on claimed oxide layer.

Referring to claim 5, the etching step above would have to be plasma etch (col. 3, line 25-27; col. 4, line 24).

Referring to claim 7, the etchant further includes CHF3 (col. 3, line 25-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action.
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Havemann as applied to claims 1 above, and further in view of Khajehnouri et al. (US 6.117.786).

Referring to claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, Havemann doesn't describes the processing parameters including etching time, flow rate of gases, and RF power. However, these are result-effective variables as shown here by Khajehnouri (tables 1, 3-10). Khajehnouri shows that processing parameters for etching must be determined through test runs. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skill in the art at the time of the invention to determine the processing parameters including etching time, flow rate of gases, and RF power through test runs in order to obtain optimum processing parameters for the etching of the ARC and oxide with a reasonable expectation of success.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Referring to applicant's argument that Havemann's SiON-ARC is not claimed polymer ARC but is an inorganic ARC, as defined in page 5 of the specification, "... an intermediate layer made of a polymer, i.e. an ARC polymer as antireflection layer... Antireflection layers of this type may comprise organic or inorganic materials." Therefore, Havemann's SiON-ARC would read on claimed polymer intermediate layer. Also, he teaches of using CF4 to etch the dielectric and the SiON. This etching process would read on claimed CF4 ARC open process since the same gas is used in both processes.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,550 Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DuyVu n Deo whose telephone number is 703-305-0515.

DVD 12/23/03

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER